

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

MELVIN OTT,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
INGENIX, INC.,  
  
Defendant.

No. CV-07-201-FVS

ORDER DENYING PROTECTIVE  
ORDER AND EXTENDING  
DEADLINES

**THIS MATTER** having come before the Court based upon the plaintiff's motion for a protective order, the defendant's motion to strike, and the plaintiff's motion for an extension of certain deadlines; Now, therefore

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

1. The plaintiff's motion for a protective order (**Ct. Rec. 32**) is **denied**.

2. The defendant's motion to strike (**Ct. Rec. 65**) is **denied**.

3. The plaintiff's motion for an extension (**Ct. Rec. 78**) is **granted**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.** The District Court Executive is hereby directed to enter this order and furnish copies to counsel.

**DATED** this 8th day of August, 2008.

s/ Fred Van Sickle  
Fred Van Sickle  
Senior United States District Judge